Open source roles and evidence

Fictional case data and worked reasoning

A volunteer developer provides source code for a free data interface publicly. He offers the program outside of a business activity. A foundation permanently supports the project through infrastructure, organizational support and coordinated vulnerability processing. In the model, the library is intended to be used commercially as well. A software house integrates the library into a paid data logger and transports the data logger under its own brand. The foundation also ensures the usable condition of the interface and does not produce the data loggers. The software house can prove the origin of the integrated library version but has not yet confirmed the contact person for the vulnerability treatment.

Test point Purpose or comparison Observation Decision		
Publication Checking activity in the concrete offer	Non-commercial voluntary contribution	Commercial provision in case negates
Foundation Permanent organized support	Project and infrastructure managed	Check Art. 24 for the role of administrator
Softwarehaus Manufacturer responsibility for own data loggers	Commercial delivery under own brand	Care and remedy at the software house

Expected result

A documented role decision per activity with those responsible for weaknesses and care.

Define the product under review and identify the responsible legal entity.

Business provision and sustainable organisational support.

Confirming the responsible person for care, advice and necessary cooperation.

Counter-test and next decision

In the model, let the Foundation also place a self-responsible version of the data logger on the market under its own brand in commercial traffic. Evaluate this activity separately. The possible role of manufacturer for its own offer is due to the new provision, even if it remains free of charge.

Open source roles and evidence

For your observation, specify a findable document.

Capture actual offer, provision, license and organized care per actor.

Verification identification, legal entity and date of processing and responsible body

Organisation, source, product and version provided

License and proven delivery model

Type, duration and objective of project support

Own manufacturer role or integration into another product

Contact person and the necessary handling of instructions

Open source roles and evidence

Refer remedial and post-test to the original test order.

Findings and supporting evidence

Open commitment, role or organisational action

Tested follow-up test with date, observation and version documentation

Dated role decision and applied application

Release by and on the open order and date