Workbook for joint supplier dependencies

Compare several power paths along their real, commonly used infrastructure.

Legal entity, function and scope

Determine the date, performance and role of responsibility with the own verification identifier.

Identifying Common Dependency

Use the same identifier for the same upstream service and verify controlled alternatives with a proof.

What facts and evidence do the decision bear?

Select the information relevant to your criterion. Note version, location and reference to your own process for each document.

Own decision and open order

A robust decision to concentrate is called common nodes, critical functions and independent alternative.

Transfer the sample case to the own holding

Providers A and B operate separate frontends, but their backups are on RECOVERY-X.

Example check	Documented status
Scale	The alternative restart uses a verifiable independent recovery source.
Observation	The supposed alternative shares the same backup platform.
Reasoned follow-up	Reassess concentration and perform an evasive test without a common platform.

Keeping your own deviation and expected effect

What acceptance changes your decision? Determine which own sample and what proof clarify the open question.

Connect test documents, findings and acceptance

Link each sample with a unique identifier. In case of a different activity, replace the criterion by the test position relevant to your job.

Substitutability per service

Art. 29 para. 1 (a) · Measure in an approved sample whether another path starts within the professional tolerance.

Observation and evidence

Specify the observed result, location and status.

Separating systemic relevance from institutional importance

2024/1502 · Art. 1?3 · Compare a locally critical performance with its classification at EU level.

Observation and evidence

Specify the observed result, location and status.

Correction, follow-up date and release

Determine the finding, the correction responsible, the post-test and the decision with date.