Check technical marking and visible hints per channel

Fictional case data and worked reasoning

A fictitious media company creates a synthetic video in which a real existing public person is deceptively depicted in real reality. For the exercise, the separate operator obligation for disclosure has been confirmed. The provider can prove a machine-readable mark on the original export.

Test point	Purpose or comparison	Observation	Decision
Technical proof of original	Marking as evidence	Confirmed	provider receipt available
Technical proof in short format	Check conversion	Check mark missing	conversion
Visible indication at first exposure	3 of 3 accesses	2 of 3 accesses	Direct access blocked

Expected result

A verifiable publication or release per channel with a technical and visible original document.

Capture the responsible, channel and triggering duty

Technical detection and perceptible indication separately sample

Retest the corrected access as a new person

Counter-test and next decision

Insert the visible clue early enough in all three places without repairing the technically lost evidence. Visible disclosure exists. The cause of the marking loss remains open.

Check technical marking and visible hints per channel

For your observation, specify a findable document.

Arrange provider marking, visible disclosure and the information time per file, location or access separately.

Verification identification, legal entity and date of processing and responsible body

File, version or location

Confirmed role and relevant mandatory path

Export, conversion or limit of collection

Place of discovery of the marking or visible indication

Time and accessibility per access

Check technical marking and visible hints per channel

Refer remedial and post-test to the original test order.

Findings and supporting evidence

Remedy and direct retest

Tested follow-up test with date, observation and version documentation

Publication decision for the respective access

Release by and on the open order and date