Joint evidence for AI Regulation, CRA and DORA

Fictional case data and worked reasoning

KI16 · The confirmation of cybersecurity is missing. Accuracy reaches 97 percent. The bank's crossing its target by 22 minutes.

Test point Purpose or comparison Observation Decision	
CRA Bridge 3 relevant evidence missing explanation of level	Open
Accuracy At least 95% from 100 97 correct results Fulfilled	
DORA sample Not more than 90 minutes 112 minutes · 22 over	Retest

Expected result

Three technically justified individual decisions that refer to the same version and show open findings per area of responsibility.

Confirm product scope, roll and version

CRA bridge, accuracy and bank test separately check

Repeat the remedy per legal framework with original documents

Joint evidence for AI Regulation, CRA and DORA

For your observation, specify a findable document.

Separate three documents for the CRA bridge, the AI accuracy test and your own bank test. Common version and separate releases remain visible.

Verification identification, legal entity and date of processing and responsible body

System status and confirmed role per legal framework

CRA product documentation and manufacturer process documentation

Statement on cybersecurity level and verified version

Accuracy-Requirement and result, separate robustness sample

Operational sample, time measure and own objective

Joint evidence for AI Regulation, CRA and DORA

Refer remedial and post-test to the original test order.

Findings and supporting evidence

Post-testing and assignment to the affected persons

Tested follow-up test with date, observation and version documentation

Decision by obligation and release body

Release by and on the open order and date